

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,448 10/27/2003		10/27/2003	Kathleen C.M. Campbell	SIU 7398	8896
321	7590	04/19/2006		EXAMINER	
SENNIGE ONE MET			GRAFFEO, MICHEL		
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO 63102				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1614	
	,			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006	6 -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/694,448	CAMPBELL, KATHLEEN C.M.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michel Graffeo	1614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	· .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No	ovember 2005						
<u>_</u>	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	,						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-33,35,36 and 38-45</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
6) Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-33,35,36 and 38-45 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6 Apr 06</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Action

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-33, 35-36 and 38-45 are pending and examined.

Applicant has amended claims 3, 15, 16 and provided arguments for the patentability of claims 1, 3-5, 7-33, 35-36 and 38-45 in the response filed 22 November 2005.

Applicant's arguments, see response, filed 22 November 2005, have been fully considered and are persuasive to the extent that the terminal disclaimer has been approved thereby removing the Double Patenting rejection, and the rejection under 35 USC §102 has been withdrawn. Yet, based on the amendment, the rejection over the Kowabata et al. reference is maintained as a rejection under 35 USC §103. As sited in the prior Office Action, all other rejections have been maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-33, 35-36 and 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5,466,678 (Kowabata et al) in view of Deegan et al. The nephrotoxicity, cytotoxicity and renal handling of a cisplatin-methionine complex in male Wistar rats, Toxicology, (1994), 89:1-14 and further in view of Ormond et al. Reduced Nephrotoxicity In Vivo and In Vitro of Cisplatin-methionine Complex, Brit. J. Pharmacology (suppl)., (1998), 95:584 (both of which Deegan et al. and Ormond et al. are added only as directly corresponding evidence to support the prior common knowledge finding of Kowabata et al.).

Kowabata (column 3, line 1 through column 4, line 8, Test Example 3, claims) discloses a method of using S-adenosyl-L-methionine to reduce nephrotoxicity of a platinium complex compound using the recited orders of administration, routes of administration, dosages and ratios of otoprotective agent to platinum coordination compound. The instant claims appear to differ over Kowabata in reciting a method for preventing ototoxicity. However, it would be inherent that S-adenosyl-L-methionine would prevent ototoxicity caused by a platinium complex compound, since a patient receiving a platinium complex compound is at risk for both nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity and using S-adenosyl-L-methionine to reduce nephrotoxicity would at the same time also prevent ototoxicity cased by the platinium complex compound. Additionally, Kowabata teach that the methionine agent can be administered prior to, simultaneously with or after the platinum compound (in current claims 7-14 and 41-45; col 3 lines 55-65).

Art Unit: 1614

Although Kowatbata do not disclose the methionine derivates of claim 35 for example, but such would be obvious over the teachings that "the present inventors have made extensive studies on SAMe, focusing attention on the fact that glutathione or the like SH-compounds produced in the living organisms detoxicate active oxygen or chemically reactive toxicants through reaction therewith. As a result, the aforementioned objects have now been found to be achievable in accordance with the present invention." to the extent that L-methionine (claim 35) is involved in the production of S-adenosyl-L-methionine (in current claims 1, 3-5, 7-33, 35-36 and 38-45; see, Col 2 lines 10-17). For support of such obviousness, see Deegan et al. and

Ormond et al. which both teach the administration of methionine and particularly L-methionine (in Ormond et al. paragraph 2) with cisplatin to reduce nephrotoxicity of the cisplatin.

Kowabata further teaches that examples of the platinum complex compounds described above include cisplatin (cis-diamine-dichloro-platinum; CDDP), carboplatin, dichloro-ethylenediamine-platinum (II), 1,2-diamino-cyclohexyl-platinum (II)-malonate or sulfate, diisopropylamino-trans-dihydroxy-cis-dichloro-platinum (IV), (-)-(R)-2-aminomethylpyrrolidine (1,1-cyclobutanedicarboxylate)platinum (II)-monohydrate and cis-diamineglycolateplatinum (in current claims 1, 3-5, 7-33, 35-36 and 38-45; see col 3 lines 27-37.). Thus, the combined references teach and make prima facie obvious how to use the claimed invention at the time that it was made.

Art Unit: 1614

Response to Arguments - 35 USC § 112

Page 5

Applicant's arguments filed 22 November 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. It is not seen from the data in the specification that the compound of the claims can be used to treat ototoxicity. Applicant's argument regarding "treat" is not persuasive, since "treat" is understood as providing a cure or relief of an existing condition. To such extent, see Experimental treatments to prevent ototoxicity http://www.dizziness-and-balance.com/disorders/bilat/bilat_prevent.htm Retrieved 13 April 2006 which updates the awareness of preventing ototoxicity caused by cisplatin for example by teaching that "free radical generation plays an important role in auditory toxicity from aminoglycosides, cisplatin and noise. Agents that reduce free radical formation may be protective and manipulations that increase free-radicals are harmful to hearing. Also agents that inhibit programmed cell death (apoptosis), are thought to have some promise in preventing neuronal death, although they also have a propensity to promote tumors. At this writing, there are exploratory studies done in animals which do show that these agents are protective, but the delivery method is often impractical and the risk/benefit profile of these agents in humans needs to be established." which shows that a preventative measure has not yet been established somewhat in light of the issues concerning delivery of active agents.

Response to Arguments - 35 USC § 102

Applicant's arguments filed 22 November 2005 have been fully considered and are persuasive for the reasons presented in Applicant's response.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment, specifically the deletion of S-adenosyl-L-methionine, necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michel Graffeo whose telephone number is 571-272-8505. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am to 5:30pm Monday to Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,448 Page 7

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

14 April 2006 MG

> SUPER SUPER